

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/777,257	02/12/2004	Joachim Koemer	Ruff 19	5395	
23474 75	90 06/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	L BOUTELL & TANIS	PATEL, NIHIR B			
2026 RAMBLII KALAMAZOO	NG ROAD ), MI 49008-1699	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	•		3743		

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		<u> </u>		mK
	Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/777,25	7	KOERNER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Nihir Patel		3743	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	••
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stath - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply when the complex of the	CATION.  f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no eventication.  days, a reply within the statuutory period will apply and wintil by statute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be time story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	I on <i>April 21<sup>st</sup> 2005</i>			
	b) ☐ This action is n	on-final		
3) Since this application is in condition for	•		secution as to the merit	ts is
closed in accordance with the practic				13 13
closed in accordance with the practic	e under Ex parte Qu	uyic, 1000 O.D. 11, 40		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the	application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from cor	nsideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.	:			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election re	equirement.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any object				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				21(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to				
Priority and at 25 U.S.C. 5 440				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a laim for a	• •		)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority of	:			
2. Certified copies of the priority of				
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of</li></ol>	•		ed in this National Stage	<del>;</del>
application from the Internation	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	ed.	
	- ! :			
	·			
Attachment(s)		<u> </u>		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	: 	4) Interview Summary		
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F</li> </ol>		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	•
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>05.13.2004</u> .		6) Other:	,,,	

Application/Control Number: 10/777,257

Art Unit: 3743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on April 21<sup>st</sup>, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Referring to claim 1 and 6, the applicant argues that Hess et al. '219 does not disclose that the micro-dosing device is configured for an independent drying cycle of the vibrating unit or for a time-separated drying time of any configuration. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., "for an independent drying cycle") are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Even if it was claimed, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The intended use statements are not given any patentable weight in this instance for example "for an independent drying cycle of the vibrating unit or for a time-separated drying time of any configuration" and "in order to remove liquid residues from the dosing chamber".

Hess does disclose a drying function unit that is configured for an independent cycle of the vibration unit (see column 7 lines 15-25).

Art Unit: 3743

In conclusion claims 1 through 3, 6 and 7 are still rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Hess et al. as stated in the previous office action dating back to December 13<sup>th</sup>, 2004.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 8 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hess et al. (US 6,196,219).

Referring to claim 8, Hess discloses a liquid droplet spray device for an inhaler suitable for respiratory therapies that comprises micro-dosing device 5 (see figures 2-5) with a dosing chamber 9 (see figures 2-4) for the at least partial reception of a liquid quantity and with which is associated at least one discharge opening 14 (see 2-5), a vibrating unit 10 (see figures 2-5) in operative connection with at least one boundary surface of the dosing chamber in order to vibrate the same for a discharge process, a delivery function unit, connected to the vibrating unit 10 for activating the latter during a delivery time period, and a drying function unit (see column 7 lines 15-25) for removing liquid residues from the dosing chamber, configured for activation in time-separated manner with respect to the delivery function unit, wherein the delivery function unit and drying function units are parts of a common electronic control device provided with a time function element for coordinating the time-separated activating processes of the delivery function unit and the drying function unit.

Application/Control Number: 10/777,257

Art Unit: 3743

Referring to claim 8, it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987).

The intended use statements are not given any patentable weight in this instance for example "for the at least partial reception of liquid quantity", "for a discharge process", "for activating the latter during a delivery time period", for removing liquid residues from te dosing chamber', "for activation in time-separated manner with respect to the delivery function unit" and "for coordinating the time separated activating processes of the delivery function unit and the drying function unit".

Referring to claim 9, Hess discloses an apparatus wherein the drying function unit is connected to the vibrating unit in order to activate the latter for a drying process (see column 6 lines 60-67, column 7 lines 15-37 and figures 2-5).

#### Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/777,257

Art Unit: 3743

Page 5

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (571) 272 4791.

NP June 17<sup>th</sup>, 2005

> l/enry#jafinett uper/isor/#afant Examiner

Grøup 3790